

**UPDATED AND CORRECTED**  
**EAST POINT KILOWATT HOURS and CITY BILLING**  
(All of the information in A, and B came from MEAG's open records.)

**A. The following kWh include SEPA energy:**

2011 - 378,015,203 kWh;  
2012 – 361,030,027 kWh;  
2013 – 360,891,110 kWh;  
2014 – 371,841,830 kWh;  
2015 YTD July – 226,887,634 kWh.

The Council voted for the pca rate of **\$0.0102** eccr rate of **\$0.0062** on January 7, 2013. Using the figures for **2015 YTD July- 226,887,634**, the City billed retail customers the following:

1) PCA Fees:	$\$0.0102(226,887,634) =$	<b>\$2,314,253</b>
2) ECCR Fees:	$\$0.0062(226,887,634) =$	<b>\$1,406,703</b>
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$3,720,956</b>

The above total represents the amount of money that the City billed for the first **six** months of this year. The City has double billed the retail customers **\$1,406,703** in eccr charges. The City **cannot** distinguish between the sources of the kilowatt hours that are sold to the retail customers. The pca rate and the eccr rate on the utility bill is multiplied by the total number of kilowatt hours used by the retail customer. Therefore, the retail customer is paying for SEPA energy which is **not** generated by coal and hence there is **no** Environmental Debt Service owed by the City for SEPA energy. The above figures for the eccr rate represent the amount that the City has double billed and overcharged the retail customers during the first six months of this year. The pca cost billed is also an illegal charge.

**B. No ECCR for SEPA. So removing SEPA from the equation, (above figures)**

2011– 328,630,981 kWh;  
2012– 317,472,567 kWh;  
2013– 300,605,946 kWh;  
2014– 316,351,277 kWh;  
2015 YTD July – 198,209,416 kWh.

Using the figures for **2015 YTD July- 226,887,634**, the City billed retail customers the following:

1) PCA Fees:	$\$0.0102(198,209,416)=$	<b>\$2,021,736</b>
2) ECCR Fees:	$\$0.0062(198,209,416)=$	<b>\$1,228,898</b>
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$3,250,634</b>

The above figures for the eccr rate represent the amount that the City has double billed the retail customers during the first six months of this year. The pca cost billed is also an illegal charge.

According to the above figures, the City has billed retail customers **\$3,720,956** in illegal, double billed and overcharged fees in the first six months of this year. Mayor and Council are doing absolutely nothing to stop these illegal rates from being bill to the retail customers.

There should have been no need for the City to bill retail customers any pca fees or eccr fees after June 30, 2013, because the Council voted on May 20, 2013 to adopt Option presented by ECG that included:

- 1) A **fixed** rate overall increase of **6.96%** in rate revisions in the Electric Schedule Rate for **Residential, General Service, Small Power, Medium Power, Large Power and Security Lights**.
- 2) Reinstating summer/winter rates and eliminating **two** levels in the winter schedule fixed rate:
  - a) The old fixed rate schedule contained a **0.00200** pca rate from 2011- 2012 that should have ended on June 30, 2012. The City did not end it. So, any increase in any of the old fixed rate schedule would contain the built in pca.
  - b) The old winter schedule fixed rate containing: **First 500 kWh, Next 500 kWh** were eliminated and the new schedule fixed rate containing: **First 1,000 kWh, Over 1,000 kWh** were added. Eliminating the two levels increased the **First 1,000 kWh** rate by **0.00284**. (0.00284 is the difference between the average of the two eliminated levels and the new increased winter rate.) The old fixed rate schedule contained a **0.00200** pca rate from 2011- 2012 that should have ended on June 30, 2012. The City did not end it so the actual winter rate increase is **0.00484**.

The lawsuit will force the City to come clean with how the fixed rates were calculated and how much money they are calculated to generate.